

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMESS SIRE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Workington D C 20231 www.nepto.pov

HRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09:857.652 09/24/2001 Toshiaki Nonaka 5144533879

04-10-2003 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151

EXAMINER WU, SHEAN CHIU

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1756

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1111
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/857,652	NONAKA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Shean C Wu	1756
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified advise is less than thirty (30 days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty, (30) days will be considered timely. All openiod for reply is specified inches, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SN (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication are reply expected by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)		is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	l.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 12</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-11 and 13</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
۵/۱	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121			
Attachment(s)			
2) Notice	o f References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)
LS Patent and Tr			

Claim Objections

1. Claims 3-11 and 13 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form

because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim.

See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 3-11 have not been further treated on the

merits. Also, it is noted that compound in Claim 13 is not encompassed by Claim 1 because

Claim 1 requires at least three rings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

 Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

The claim is not clearly defined because the claimed subject matter in claim 1 is a display

device not a compound.

The second compound represented by formula (XXI) differs from the formula (XXI) on

page 52 in the specification. Also, the rings W^1 and W^2 are not part of the formula (XXI).

The chemical structure of formulae (XXIII) and (XXIV) is identical.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

 Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schmidt et. (US 6,368,679).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fcd. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Orntum*, 686

Application/Control Number: 09/857,652

Art Unit: 1756

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,368,679, claims 1-2 and 6-7 of US 6,465,060 and claims 1-6 of US 6,482,479. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matter between the present invention and patents abovementioned overlap each other.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
 examiner should be directed to Shean C Wu whose telephone number is 703-308-3956. The
 examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 -6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 703-308-2464. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/857,652 Page 5

Art Unit: 1756

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Shear C Wu Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

scw April 7, 2003